ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSES

14 out of the 15 students responded (93%) to the questions administered in the end course evaluation forms provided.

The forms had questions in four categories;

a. Content and methods
b. Trainers
c. Organization
d. Overall evaluation/recommendation

The response ratings

- N – Not applicable
- 1-Very poor
- 2-Poor
- 3-Good
- 4-Very good
- 5-Excellent
CONTENT AND METHODS

Content met my needs

- Excellent: 7%
- Very good: 21%
- Good: 36%
- Poor: 36%
- Very poor: 0%

I will apply the skills

- Excellent: 14%
- Good: 29%
- Very good: 43%
- Poor: 14%
- Very poor: 0%
practical lessons were adequate

- very poor: 0%
- poor: 7%
- good: 14%
- very good: 57%
- excellent: 22%

skills will improve my livelihood

- very poor: 0%
- poor: 7%
- good: 28%
- excellent: 29%
- very good: 36%
Had good knowledge

- excellent: 7%
- very good: 29%
- good: 43%
- very poor: 7%
- poor: 14%

Demonstrated practical elements clearly

- excellent: 0%
- very good: 57%
- good: 43%
- very poor: 0%
- poor: 0%
ORGANISATION

Relevant syllabus

- Excellent: 14%
- Very good: 21%
- Good: 43%
- Very poor: 0%
- Poor: 22%

Comfortable venue

- Excellent: 21%
- Very good: 22%
- Good: 43%
- Very poor: 7%
- Poor: 7%
RECOMMENDATION

would you recommend the course to someone?

very poor 0%
poor 0%
good 21%
excellent 50%
very good 29%
General skills acquired

- Car Body maintenance
- Panel beating/dents removal
- Paint mixing
- Spray painting
- Confidence
- Customer service

Conclusion

- 86% of the respondents want the course duration lengthened in case of another intake
- 79% say the syllabus is timely and the trainers are professional
- 86% say they’ll apply the skills in their work
- 93% say their livelihood will improve from the skills gained